SINGLE BENCH (1)

c.f. 100/-

(3)

IN THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR(C.G.)

WP No. 2748/2006

PETITIONER

: Khirsts Sudershan Minj
S/o Shri A. Minj Aged about
46 year. Sub Inspector
Economic offence wing
Raipur (C.G.)

C.R. No. 2803/06
Presented by Shri G.H. Rao & Satyam Mishra
dated 12/6/06

Versus

RESPONDENTS

:1. State of Chhattisgarh
Through Chief Secretary Home
Department D.K.S. Bhawan
Mantralaya Raipur (C.G.)

2. Director General of Police
Chhattisgarh State Police
Head quarter Raipur
Raipur (C.G.)

3. Inspector General of Police
State Economic Crime
Investigation Bureo Raipur (C.G.)

4. Assistant Inspector General of
Police, Economic Crime
InvestigationBureo Raipur (C.G.)

5. Shri Subhas Atre
At Present Secretary Chhattisgarh
State Home Department
D.K.S. Bhawan
Mantralaya Raipur (C.G.)

6. Shri Sunil David
Town Inspector, Special Branch
Distt. Rajnandgaon (C.G.)

RECEIVED COPY
Shukla
12-06-06
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

उप आयुक्त
एस.टी.एफ. कोरिया
बिलासपुर
12/6/06

(2)

(4)

WRIT PETITON U/S 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक WP 2748/06 **सन् 200**

(33)

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

14.6.2006

Shri Jitendra Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.

Shri Sushil Dubey, Govt. Adv. for the State/respondents.

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

Since the facts of the case are not disputed and in view of the document Annexure P-4, which was sent by the Dy. Inspector General of Police, Head Quarters to the Secretary Home (Police) Department, Govt. of C.G. for sending the ACRs of the petitioner pertaining to the years 2001, 2002, 2003 & 2004 so that the case of the petitioner for promotion can be considered by convening a review DPC, the matter is being disposed of at the admission stage itself.

The case of the petitioner is that in the year 1986 he was appointed as Sub-Inspector, in the Police Department as a direct recuritee, the service record of the petitioner is good and so far no adverse entry has been communicated to him. In the seniority list of Sub-Inspectors the petitioner's name appears at Sr. No.94, whereas, the name of respondent No.6- Sunil David, who has been promoted by-passing the petitioner, appears at Sr. No.96 and the ground for not considering the name of the petitioner for promotion was shown as non-availability of ACRs of the petitioner and accordingly, the petitioner has not been considered for promotion for no fault on his part.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that it is evident from the document Annexure P-10 sent by the Dy. Inspector General of Police, Head Quarters to the Secretary Home (Police) Department, Govt. of C.G. in which it has been mentioned that ACRs of the petitioner namely K.S. Minj pertaining to

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

(34)

मामला क्रमांक ..W.P.2748/ **सन् 2006**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश - 2 -	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

the years 2001, 2002, 2003 & 2004 be sent at earliest so that the case of the petitioner can be considered for promotion by convening a review DPC. Therefore, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the Secretary Home (Police) Department, Govt. of C.G. be directed to send the ACRs of the petitioner pertaining to the above years to the Dy. Inspector General of Police and thereafter, respondents authorities be directed to consider the case of the petitioner for promotion after considering the ACRs sent by the Secretary, Home (Police) Department, to which learned counsel for the State has no objection and he submitted that the case of the petitioner is already under consideration for promotion.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, the Secretary Home (Police) Department, Govt. of C.G. is directed to send the ACRs of the petitioner pertaining to the years 2001, 2002, 2003 & 2004 to the Dy. Inspector General of Police, (Administration) Police Head Quarters, Raipur within one month and in turn the Dy. Inspector General of Police to ensure that the case of the petitioner is considered for promotion by convening a review DPC at the earliest, but not later than two months.

With the direction aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of.

Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-
L.C.Bhadoo
Judge